This	is wi	rat			
Ms Mosley	Sent	Me first	t No p	lage (2)	and
Page for s	he says	l need t	nose info	mation	to onswer

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVAN HARRISBURG, PA

WILLIAM BRANCH

Plaintiff No. 1:00-CV-1728

(Judge Conner)

v.

RUSSIAN, et al.,

Defendants

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

AUG 0 5 2004

MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S INTERROGATORIES

"5. How many of the defendants have been order[sic] to enter a drug or alcoholic program, now or in the past.[sic]"

admitted she was in Drug + alcoho ANSWER:

R: None. The word that she was a Biker Rabe meaning ske freely had sex with the whole club and was also Raped How many of the defendants[sic] who have grievances written against "7. them has the D.O.C. or the union or the courts, found guilty and yet no Record punished for mistreating inmates or other staff members." [sic]

R: None. M. Berje a immate eq 3494 was kicked in the Butt by Mr Scalzo yet to mis concluct. How many of the defendants has the D.O.C. found guilty of any ANSWER:

"8. misconduct; what was the misconduct, and what was the punish[sic]."[sic]

Milton Friedman - Mr. Friedman's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix C.

Kim Griffith - The complaint alleges that plaintiff shared with Griffith his view that Officer Russian had abused the policy concerning security searches. See Complaint at p. 3. The complaint also alleges that plaintiff advised Mr. Griffith of his view that Officer Russian had retaliated against him and that Mr. Friedman could not fairly investigate his grievances. The complaint does not allege that Griffith did anything unlawful.

Elaine Martin - The complaint alleges that Ms. Martin moved plaintiff to a different cell block after he complained. *See* Complaint at p. 5. This allegation is admitted.

Carol Surace - Ms. Surace's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix K.

David Gorman - The complaint alleges that plaintiff advised Mr. Gorman of his complaints about Officer Russian's searches. It does not allege that Mr. Gorman did anything unlawful.

Timothy Welling - Lieutenant Welling's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix E.

Wayne Gavin - Captain Gavin's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix H.

William Gagas - The Reverend Gagas' responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix G.

Page 3 of 6

Joseph Scalzo - The complaint does not allege that Scalzo did anything.

Christina Wilbur - Ms. Wilbur's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix J.

Ronald Richards - The complaint does not allege that Mr. Richards did anything unlawful.

Martin Walsh - Mr. Walsh's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix I.

Barry Karwowski - Officer Karwowski's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix F.

Julie Suchy - Ms. Suchy's responses to plaintiff's allegations are set forth in his declaration which is contained in Documents in Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment filed on December 31, 2003 as Appendix A.

Christopher Jones - Mr. Jones denies depriving plaintiff of due process.

Martin Horn - Mr. Horn denies legal responsibility for the actions of subordinates of which he had no personal knowledge or involvement solely because of his former position as the Secretary of the Department of Corrections.

"What did [the defendants] do when I informed them of an act by another staff member"[sic]

This interrogatory does not provide sufficient information to ANSWER: permit the defendants to respond to it.

GERALD PAPPERT **Attorney General**

s/ Gwendolyn T. Mosley **GWENDOLYN T. MOSLEY** By: Senior Deputy Attorney General Attorney I.D. No. 29157

> SUSAN J. FORNEY **Chief Deputy Attorney General Chief, Litigation Section**

Counsel for Defendants

Office of Attorney General 15th Floor, Strawberry Square **Litigation Section** Harrisburg, PA 17120 (717) 787-1180

Dated: July 9, 2004

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM BRANCH

Plaintiff

No. 1:00-CV-1728

v.

(Judge Conner)

RUSSIAN, et al.,

Defendants

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Gwendolyn T. Mosley, Senior Deputy Attorney General for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Office of Attorney General, hereby certify that on July 9, 2004, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document titled Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's Interrogatories, by depositing it in the United States Mail, first-class postage prepaid to the following:

William Branch, CF 3756 SCI-Waymart P.O. Box 256 Waymart, PA 18472

<u>s/ Gwendolyn T. Mosley</u>Gwendolyn T. MosleySenior Deputy Attorney General

CF3756 BRanch Po Boxase Way Mart Pa 19472

Judge ConneR Po Box 983 Harrisburg Pa 17108

DEPT. OF CORRE